

A Modular Invariant Partition Function for the Fivebrane

LOUISE DOLAN[★]*Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill
North Carolina 27599-3255*CHIARA R. NAPPI[†]*School of Natural Sciences
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane
Princeton, NJ 08540*

ABSTRACT

We compute an $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant partition function for the chiral two-form of the M theory fivebrane compactified on the six-torus T^6 . From a manifestly $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant formalism, we prove that the partition function has an additional $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ symmetry. The combination of these two symmetries ensures $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance. Thus, whether or not a fully covariant Lagrangian is available, the fivebrane on the six-torus has a consistent quantum theory.

[★] Research supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG 05-85ER40219/Task A. Email: dolan@physics.unc.edu

[†] Research supported in part by the Ambrose Monell Foundation. Email: nappi@ias.edu

1. Introduction

The physical degrees of freedom of the 6d world volume theory of the M theory fivebrane consist of an $N=(2,0)$ tensor supermultiplet. This multiplet contains a chiral two-form B_{MN} with a self-dual three-form field strength $H_{LMN} = \partial_L B_{MN} + \partial_M B_{NL} + \partial_N B_{LM}$ where $1 \leq L, M, N \leq 6$.

Many attempts have been made recently to write a manifestly covariant six-dimensional action for H_{LMN} [2–9]. The difficulty is related to the self-duality of the three-form field strength and is analogous to the well-known problem of writing down covariant actions for theories with chiral bosons. We adopt in this paper a different approach. Rather than considering the Lagrangian, we ask if there is a modular invariant partition function.

It is known there is no modular invariant partition function for a single chiral field in two dimensions. This is the reason, a posteriori, that one cannot write a covariant Lagrangian for such a field. Such a Lagrangian, if it were to exist, could then be quantized on a Riemann surface of genus g and would yield results that depended only on the metric of the Riemann surface in a modular invariant way. Instead, it is known that a chiral scalar on a Riemann surface of genus g has 2^{2g} candidate partition functions. The situation is expected to be similar for the partition function of the M-theory fivebrane [1].

Nonetheless in this paper we compute the partition function Z for a free self-dual H_{LMN} field strength on T^6 and show that it is invariant under the $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ mapping class group of T^6 . We circumvent the lack of a fully covariant Lagrangian by writing the self-dual three-form in six dimensions as an anti-symmetric three-form in five dimensions, which is no longer self-dual. In this formalism, the partition function is automatically $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant. We show it has an additional $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance and from this prove that Z is an example of an $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ automorphic form, even though only $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ symmetry is explicit. It is given in (5.4) together with (3.11).

The reason this partition function avoids the problems of the chiral boson and manages to be modular invariant is that we are compactifying on $T^2 \times T^4$. From the point of view of T^2 , the three degrees of freedom of the two-form potential (which is the (3,1) representation of the $\text{Spin}(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ little group for 6d, $N = (2, 0)$ massless states) behave like three 2d-massive scalars, therefore mimicking the situation of three non-chiral bosons. This would not have happened had we compactified on $T^2 \times \mathbf{CP}^2$ for example. This is the way our calculation and hence our result are special to the compactification on T^6 .

It was pointed out in the introduction to [1] that for a fivebrane on $\Sigma \times \mathbf{CP}^2$ (with Σ a Riemann surface) the chiral two-form partition function depends on a spin structure on Σ . Our result shows that in the case of T^6 , there is no such spin structure dependence. We understand that, by relating the description of [1] to the Kervaire invariant, M. Hopkins and I. M. Singer have determined when there is or is not a dependence of the chiral two-form partition function on the spin structure [11]. Notice that for the partition function of a chiral two-form to depend on a spin structure does not violate any symmetry of M-theory, since M-theory anyway has fermions that require a spin structure.

Although our result is restricted to the case of compactification on the torus, we think it is interesting to exhibit an $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ modular invariant partition function for the M5-brane, *i.e.* a quantum theory of the free 6d self-dual two-form with symmetry analogous to the modular invariance of consistent interacting strings. In sect.3 we calculate the zero-mode contribution to Z , and in sect.'s 4,5 we compute the oscillator trace and show how the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ anomalies cancel.

Appendix A describes an $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant regularization of the vacuum energy in the trace over oscillators. Appendix B reviews how ‘2-d massive’ part of our partition function is $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant, an important ingredient of our proof. Finally, Appendix C deals with the generators of the mapping class groups $SL(n, \mathcal{Z})$ for the n-torus and shows how $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ and $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ combine to give $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance.

2. Notation and Strategy

The 6d chiral two-form B_{MN} has a self-dual field-strength which satisfies

$$H_{LMN}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{-G}} G_{LL'} G_{MM'} G_{NN'} \epsilon^{L'M'N'RST} H_{RST}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) \quad (2.1)$$

where G_{MN} is the 6d metric. With use of this equation of motion it is possible [3] to eliminate the components H_{6mn} in terms of the other components H_{lmn} with $l, m, n = 1 \dots 5$ as follows

$$H_{6mn} = -\frac{G^{6l}}{G^{66}} H_{lmn} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{-G} G^{66}} \epsilon_{mnlrs} H^{lrs}, \quad (2.2)$$

where now indices are raised with the 5d-metric G_5^{mn} and $\epsilon_{12345} \equiv G_5 \epsilon^{12345} = G_5$.

The Hamiltonian and momenta of the H_{lmn} can be written in a fully 5d covariant way:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{12} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^5 \sqrt{G_5} G_5^{ll'} G_5^{mm'} G_5^{nn'} H_{lmn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) H_{l'm'n'}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) \quad (2.3)$$

$$P_l = -\frac{1}{24} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^5 \epsilon^{rsumn} H_{umn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) H_{lrs}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) \quad (2.4)$$

with $1 \leq l, m, n, r, s, u \leq 5$.

A general metric on T^6 is a function of 21 parameters and can be represented by the line element

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & R_1^2 (d\theta^1 - \alpha d\theta^6)^2 + R_6^2 (d\theta^6)^2 \\ & + \sum_{i,j=2 \dots 5} g_{ij} (d\theta^i - \beta^i d\theta^1 - \gamma^i d\theta^6) (d\theta^j - \beta^j d\theta^1 - \gamma^j d\theta^6) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $0 \leq \theta^I \leq 2\pi$, $1 \leq I \leq 6$ and we single out directions 1 and 6. The latter is our time direction. The 21 parameters are as follows: R_1 and R_6 are the radii

for directions 1 and 6, g_{ij} is a 4d metric, β^i, γ^j are the angles between directions 1 and i , and between 6 and j , respectively; and α is related to the angle between 1 and 6, see (2.6). The metric can be read off from the line element above to be

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij} &= g_{ij} ; & G_{11} &= R_1^2 + g_{ij} \beta^i \beta^j \\ G_{i1} &= -g_{ij} \beta^j ; & G_{66} &= R_6^2 + \alpha^2 R_1^2 + g_{ij} \gamma^i \gamma^j \\ G_{i6} &= -g_{ij} \gamma^j ; & G_{16} &= -\alpha R_1^2 + g_{ij} \beta^i \gamma^j . \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Its inverse is

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij} &= g^{ij} + \frac{\beta^i \beta^j}{R_1^2} + \frac{1}{R_6^2} (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) (\alpha \beta^j + \gamma^j) ; & G^{11} &= \frac{1}{R_1^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{R_6^2} \\ G^{1i} &= \frac{\beta^i}{R_1^2} + \frac{\alpha}{R_6^2} (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) ; & G^{66} &= \frac{1}{R_6^2} \\ G^{i6} &= \frac{(\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i)}{R_6^2} ; & G^{16} &= \frac{\alpha}{R_6^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The 5-dimensional inverse is

$$G_5^{ij} = g^{ij} + \frac{\beta^i \beta^j}{R_1^2} ; \quad G_5^{i1} = \frac{\beta^i}{R_1^2} ; \quad G_5^{11} = \frac{1}{R_1^2} \quad (2.8)$$

where g^{ij} is the 4d inverse of g_{ij} . Useful formulae are $G_5 = R_1^2 g$, where $G_5 = \det G_{mn}$ and $g = \det g_{ij}$. Also $G = \det G_{IJ}$.

We want to compute the partition function on the twisted torus with metric (2.6). As in string theory [12], the partition function is given in terms of the Hamiltonian and momenta by

$$Z = \text{tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{H} + iy^l P_l}) \quad (2.9)$$

where $t = 2\pi R_6$ and $y^l = 2\pi \frac{G^{l6}}{G^{66}}$, i.e. $y^1 = 2\pi\alpha$, $y^i = 2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)$, $l = 1, \dots, 5$, $i = 2, \dots, 5$.

One can also check that the expression $-t\mathcal{H} + iy^l P_l$ in (2.9) is exactly the Hamiltonian derivable from the (not fully 6d covariant) Lagrangian that is shown in [2, 3] to give rise to the self-duality equation (2.1).

The strategy of the calculation is the following. The partition function (2.9) is by construction $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant, due to the underlying $SO(5)$ invariance in the coordinate space we have labelled $l = 1\dots 5$. We shall prove it is also $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant in the directions 1 and 6. The combination of these two invariances will ensure $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance.

As in any calculation of partition functions in string theory, we divide the calculation of the trace into two parts. First we sum over the zero modes in (2.9) and compute their anomaly under $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$, which will cancel against a similar anomaly in the sum over oscillators. A subtlety in performing this trace is to regularize the vacuum energy in a way that preserves the $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance. The regularization is essential for the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ anomaly cancellation. As we will see, the latter is due only to the contribution of the ‘2d-massless’ modes, *i.e* the modes with zero momentum in the transverse directions $2, \dots, 5$. The modes with non-zero transverse momentum have a partition function of 2d massive bosons, which is $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant by itself.

3. Transformation of the Zero Modes of the Partition Function

The trace in the partition function

$$Z(R_1, R_6, g_{ij}, \alpha, \beta^i, \gamma^i) = \text{tr} \exp\{-t\mathcal{H} + i2\pi\alpha P_1 + i2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)P_i\} \quad (3.1)$$

is over all independent Fock space operators which appear in the normal mode expansion of the free massless tensor gauge field B_{MN} . In this section we trace only on the zero mode operators and determine their transformation under $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$. To this end, we express the Hamiltonian (2.3) and momentum (2.4) in terms of

the metric parameters in (2.5). Neglecting temporarily the integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
-t\mathcal{H} &= -\frac{\pi}{6}R_6\sqrt{G_5}G_5^{ll'}G_5^{mm'}G_5^{nn'}H_{lmn}H_{lmn} \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{6}R_6R_1\sqrt{g}\left[(g^{ii'}g^{jj'}g^{kk'} + \frac{3}{R_1^2}\beta^i\beta^{i'}g^{jj'}g^{kk'})H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{6}{R_1^2}\beta^{i'}g^{jj'}g^{kk'}H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'} + \frac{3}{2R_1^2}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'})H_{1jk}H_{1j'k'}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$P_1 = -\frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{jkj'k'}H_{1jk}H_{1j'k'}; \quad P_i = -\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{jkj'k'}H_{1jk}H_{ij'k'}. \tag{3.3}$$

The terms in the exponential of (3.1) are then

$$\begin{aligned}
&-t\mathcal{H} + i2\pi\alpha P_1 + i2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)P_i \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{6}R_6R_1\sqrt{g}g^{ii'}g^{jj'}g^{kk'}H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'} \\
&\quad -\frac{\pi}{4}\left[\frac{R_6}{R_1}\sqrt{g}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'}) + i\alpha\epsilon^{jkj'k'}\right]H_{1jk}H_{1j'k'} \\
&\quad -\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\frac{R_6}{R_1}\sqrt{g}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'}) + i\alpha\epsilon^{jkj'k'}\right]\beta^iH_{1jk}H_{ij'k'} \\
&\quad -\frac{\pi}{4}\left[\frac{R_6}{R_1}\sqrt{g}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'}) + i\alpha\epsilon^{jkj'k'}\right]\beta^i\beta^{i'}H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'} - \frac{i\pi}{2}\gamma^i\epsilon^{jkj'k'}H_{1jk}H_{ij'k'}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that in the last line of (3.4), the term $\epsilon^{jkj'k'}\beta^i\beta^{i'}H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'}$ is identically zero. We retain it in order to write (3.4) in terms of the matrix

$$\mathcal{A}^{jkj'k'} = \frac{R_6}{R_1}\sqrt{g}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'}) + i\alpha\epsilon^{jkj'k'}. \tag{3.5}$$

To sum over the zero modes, it is convenient to rewrite (3.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&-t\mathcal{H} + i2\pi\alpha P_1 + i2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)P_i = \left(-\frac{\pi}{6}R_6R_1\sqrt{g}g^{ii'}g^{jj'}g^{kk'}H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\pi}{4|\tau|^2}\frac{R_6}{R_1}\sqrt{g}(g^{jj'}g^{kk'} - g^{jk'}g^{kj'})H_{ijk}H_{i'j'k'}\gamma^i\gamma^{i'} - \pi\mathcal{A}^{jkj'k'}\frac{1}{4}[H_{1jk} + x_{jk}][H_{1j'k'} + x_{j'k'}]\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$x_{jk} \equiv \beta^i H_{ijk} + \frac{i}{4} \gamma^i \mathcal{A}^{-1}_{jkj'k'} \epsilon^{j'k'gh} H_{igh} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\tau = \alpha + i \frac{R_6}{R_1}. \quad (3.8)$$

The form (3.6) is particularly useful for the zero mode calculation since it allows us to use a generalization of the Poisson summation formula [12]

$$\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}^p} e^{-\pi(n+x) \cdot A \cdot (n+x)} = (\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}^p} e^{-\pi n \cdot A^{-1} \cdot n} e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}. \quad (3.9)$$

The six fields H_{1jk} have zero mode eigenvalues $n_1, \dots, n_6 \in \mathcal{Z}^6$, which we sum over in the Poisson formula. The zero modes of the four fields H_{ijk} are labelled by the integers $n_7, \dots, n_{10}$.

The partition function (3.1) can be written as

$$Z = Z_{\text{osc}} \cdot Z_{\text{zero modes}} \quad (3.10)$$

where Z_{osc} is the contribution from the sum over the oscillators which we compute in the next sections. The contribution from the zero modes is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{zero modes}} = & \sum_{n_7, \dots, n_{10} \in \mathcal{Z}^4} \exp \left\{ -\pi \frac{R_6 R_1}{6} \sqrt{g} g^{ii'} g^{jj'} g^{kk'} H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\pi}{4|\tau|^2} \frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g^{jj'} g^{kk'} - g^{jk'} g^{j'k}) H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \gamma^i \gamma^{i'} \right\} \\ & \cdot \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_6 \in \mathcal{Z}^6} e^{-\pi(n+x) \cdot A \cdot (n+x)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $A_{11} = \mathcal{A}^{2323}$, $A_{16} = \mathcal{A}^{2345}$, $\dots$, $x_1 = x_{23}$, $x_2 = x_{24}$, $\dots$ and $H_{123} = n_1$,

$H_{124} = n_2$, $H_{125} = n_3$, $H_{134} = n_4$, $H_{135} = n_5$, $H_{145} = n_6$. Using (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Z = Z_{osc} \cdot \sum_{n_7, \dots, n_{10} \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \exp \{ & -\pi \frac{R_6 R_1}{6} \sqrt{g} g^{ii'} g^{jj'} g^{kk'} H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \\
& - \frac{\pi}{4|\tau|^2} \frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g^{jj'} g^{kk'} - g^{j'k} g^{jk'}) H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \gamma^i \gamma^{i'} \} \\
\cdot \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_6 \in \mathbb{Z}^6} \exp \{ & -\frac{\pi}{4|\tau|^2} [\frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g_{jj'} g_{kk'} - g_{jk'} g_{kj'}) - i \frac{\alpha}{g} \epsilon_{jkj'k'}] H_1^{jk} H_1^{j'k'} \\
& + \pi [i H_1^{jk} (\beta^i H_{ijk} + \mathcal{A}^{-1}_{jkj'k'} \epsilon^{j'k'gh} H_{igh} \gamma^i \frac{i}{4})] \} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det A}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where the H_1^{jk} are defined to be the integers H_{1jk} , and $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}_{jkj'k'} = |\tau|^{-2} \left[\frac{R_6}{R_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} (g_{jj'} g_{kk'} - g_{jk'} g_{kj'}) - i \frac{\alpha}{g} \epsilon_{jkj'k'} \right], \tag{3.13}$$

and A defined below (3.11) has $\det A = |\tau|^6$. The expression (3.12) is only a rewriting of (3.10) and therefore is equivalent to (3.1). The Poisson transformed version (3.12) is particularly useful to exhibit the invariance of (3.1) under modular transformations. (We remark that $Z_{\text{zero modes}}$ can be expressed by the Riemann theta function $\vartheta \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \vec{0} \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right] (\vec{0}, \Omega)$ defined as in [10], where the 10x10 symmetric non-singular complex matrix Ω can be reconstructed from (3.2), (3.3) .)

The generalization of the usual $\tau \rightarrow -\tau^{-1}$ modular transformation that leaves invariant the line element (2.5) if $d\theta^1 \rightarrow d\theta^6$, $d\theta^6 \rightarrow -d\theta^1$, $d\theta^i \rightarrow d\theta^i$, is

$$R_1 \rightarrow R_1 |\tau|, R_6 \rightarrow R_6 |\tau|^{-1}, \alpha \rightarrow -|\tau|^{-2} \alpha, \beta^i \rightarrow \gamma^i, \gamma^i \rightarrow -\beta^i, g_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij}. \tag{3.14}$$

We do the transformation (3.14) on (3.4) as follows: starting from (3.4) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z(R_1|\tau|, R_6|\tau|^{-1}, g_{ij}, -\alpha|\tau|^{-2}, \gamma^i, -\beta^i) \\
&= Z'_{\text{osc}} \cdot \sum_{n_7 \dots n_{10}} \exp\left\{-\pi \frac{R_6 R_1}{6} \sqrt{g} g^{ii'} g^{jj'} g^{kk'} H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\pi}{4|\tau|^2} \frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g^{jj'} g^{kk'} - g^{jk'} g^{kj'}) \gamma^i \gamma^{i'} H_{ijk} H_{i'j'k'} \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{n_1 \dots n_6} \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi}{|\tau|^2} \left[\frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g^{jj'} g^{kk'} - g^{jk'} g^{kj'}) - i\alpha \epsilon^{jj'kk'} \right] H_{1jk} H_{1j'k'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\pi}{2|\tau|^2} \left[\frac{R_6}{R_1} \sqrt{g} (g^{jj'} g^{kk'} - g^{jk'} g^{kj'}) - i\alpha \epsilon^{jj'kk'} \right] \gamma^i H_{1jk} H_{ij'k'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{i\pi}{2} \beta^i H_{1jk} \epsilon^{jj'kk'} H_{ij'k'} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where Z'_{osc} is the transformed oscillator trace derived in sect.'s 4,5. The first two lines of (3.15) and (3.12) are the same. The rest can also be identified if one rotates the H_1^{jk} variables, defining new variables $\tilde{H}_{1jk}$ via

$$H_1^{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{jj'kk'} \tilde{H}_{1j'k'}. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus we have shown that under the transformation (3.14)

$$Z_{\text{zero modes}}(R_1|\tau|, R_6|\tau|^{-1}, g_{ij}, -\alpha|\tau|^2, \gamma^i, -\beta^i) = (\det A)^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{\text{zero modes}}(R_1, R_6, g_{ij}, \alpha, \beta^i, \gamma^i). \tag{3.17}$$

4. The Oscillator Trace

In this section we set up the trace calculation in detail and find the normal mode expansion of the ‘Hamiltonian’ $-t\mathcal{H} + i2\pi\alpha P_1 + i2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)P_i$ appearing in (3.1). The result is given in (4.19) and (4.20). It is convenient to introduce the dependent field strength H_{6mn} given in (2.2) and write

$$\begin{aligned}
-t\mathcal{H} + i2\pi\alpha P_1 + i2\pi(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)P_i &= \frac{i\pi}{12} \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta H_{lrs} \epsilon^{lrsmn} H_{6mn} \\
&= \frac{i\pi}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta \sqrt{-G} H^{6mn} H_{6mn}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $H^{6mn} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-G}}\epsilon^{mnlrs}H_{lrs}$ from (2.1).

Let's define $\Pi^{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6)$, the field conjugate to $B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6)$, starting from the 6d Lagrangian for a general (non-self-dual) two-form $I_6 = \int d^6\theta(-\frac{\sqrt{-G}}{24})H_{LMN}H^{LMN}$

$$\Pi^{mn} = \frac{\delta I_6}{\delta \partial_6 B_{mn}} = -\frac{\sqrt{-G}}{4}H^{6mn}. \quad (4.2)$$

In terms of Π^{mn} (4.1) can be written as

$$-i\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta (\Pi^{mn}H_{6mn} + H_{6mn}\Pi^{mn}), \quad (4.3)$$

where we have chosen a specific field ordering. The commutation relations of the two-form and its conjugate field $\Pi^{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6)$ are assumed to be the standard ones

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi^{rs}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6), B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}', \theta^6)] &= -i\delta^5(\vec{\theta} - \vec{\theta}')(\delta_m^r\delta_n^s - \delta_n^r\delta_m^s) \\ [\Pi^{rs}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6), \Pi^{mn}(\vec{\theta}', \theta^6)] &= [B_{rs}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6), B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}', \theta^6)] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

From the Bianchi identity $\partial_{[L}H_{MNP]} = 0$ and the fact that (2.1) implies $\partial^L H_{LMN} = 0$, it follows that a solution to (2.1) is given by a solution to the homogeneous equations

$$\partial^L \partial_L B_{MN} = 0; \quad \partial^L B_{LN} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

These have a plane wave solution

$$B_{MN}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) = f_{MN}(p)e^{ip\cdot\theta} + (f_{MN}(p)e^{ip\cdot\theta})^* \quad (4.6)$$

when

$$G^{LN}p_L p_N = 0; \quad p^L f_{LN} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Using the metric on the six-torus (2.5) and solving for p_6 from (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_6 &= -\frac{G^{6m}}{G^{66}}p_m - i\sqrt{\frac{G_5^{mn}}{G^{66}}}p_m p_n \\ &= -\alpha p_1 - (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i)p_i - iR_6\sqrt{G_5^{mn}}p_m p_n \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $2 \leq i \leq 5$; $1 \leq m, n \leq 5$. Now consider a wave in the 1-direction with wave vector $p_i = 0$, $p_6 = (-\alpha - i\frac{R_6}{R_1})p_1$, and use the gauge invariance of B_{MN} , *i.e.* $f_{MN} \rightarrow f'_{MN} = f_{MN} + p_M g_N - p_N g_M$ to fix $f'_{6n} = 0$. This gauge choice

$$B_{6n} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

is consistent with the commutation relations (4.4) and reduces the number of components of B_{MN} from 15 to 10.

Furthermore, the $\rho\sigma j$ component of (2.1), where $\rho, \sigma = 6, 1$ and $2 \leq j \leq 5$, can be used to eliminate f_{1j} in terms of the six f_{ij} as

$$f_{1j} = \frac{(\alpha + i\frac{R_6}{R_1}\beta^k + \gamma^k)}{(\alpha + i\frac{R_6}{R_1})} f_{jk} = \frac{p^k f_{jk}}{p^1}. \quad (4.10)$$

This satisfies (4.7). Finally the $\rho j k$ component of (2.1) expresses three of the f_{ij} in terms of the remaining three, leaving just three independent polarization tensors corresponding to the physical degrees of freedom of the 6d chiral two form with Spin(4) content (3,1).

We can now expand the free quantum tensor gauge field as

$$B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) = \text{zero modes} + \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} (f_{mn}^\kappa b_{\vec{p}}^\kappa e^{ip \cdot \theta} + f_{mn}^{\kappa*} b_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger} e^{-ip^* \cdot \theta}) \quad (4.11)$$

where $1 \leq \kappa \leq 3$, $1 \leq m, n \leq 5$, p_6 is defined by (4.8), and the sum in (4.11) is on the dual lattice $\vec{p} = p_m \in \mathcal{Z}^5 \neq \vec{0}$. Since oscillators with different polarizations commute, we can treat each polarization separately and cube the end result. Also,

having already computed the zero mode contribution in sect.3, we will drop the ‘zero mode’ term here. Thus

$$B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) = \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} (b_{\vec{p}mn} e^{ip \cdot \theta} + b_{\vec{p}mn}^\dagger e^{-ip^* \cdot \theta}), \quad (4.12)$$

where $b_{\vec{p}mn} = f_{mn}^1 b_{\vec{p}}^1$, for example. From the mode expansion for B_{mn} in (4.12), since $H^{6mn} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-G}} \epsilon^{mnlrs} H_{lrs}$, we can also write one for H^{6mn} as

$$\Pi^{mn}(\vec{\theta}, \theta^6) = -\frac{\sqrt{-G}}{4} H^{6mn} = \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} (c_{\vec{p}}^{6mn} e^{ip^* \cdot \theta} + c_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger} e^{-ip \cdot \theta}). \quad (4.13)$$

Then substituting (4.12) and (4.13) in the first term of (4.3) we find

$$-i\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta \Pi^{mn} H_{6mn} = -i\pi (2\pi)^5 \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} ip_6 (c_{-\vec{p}}^{6mn} + c_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger}) (b_{\vec{p}mn} + b_{-\vec{p}mn}^\dagger). \quad (4.14)$$

We compute the commutators of these oscillator combinations

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^5\theta}{(2\pi)^5} e^{-ip_l \theta^l} B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}, 0) &= b_{\vec{p}mn} + b_{-\vec{p}mn}^\dagger \equiv B_{\vec{p}mn} \\ \int \frac{d^5\theta}{(2\pi)^5} e^{ip_l \theta^l} \Pi^{mn}(\vec{\theta}, 0) &= c_{-\vec{p}}^{6mn} + c_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{6rs\dagger}, B_{\vec{p}'mn}] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{10}} \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta d^5\theta' e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\theta} - i\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{\theta}'} [\Pi^{rs}(\vec{\theta}, 0), B_{mn}(\vec{\theta}', 0)] \\ &= -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^5} \delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{p}'} (\delta_m^r \delta_n^s - \delta_n^r \delta_m^s). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Normalizing $\mathcal{C}B \equiv \frac{i}{(2\pi)^5} \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{B}$, so that

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\vec{p}}^{6rs\dagger}, \tilde{B}_{\vec{p}'mn}] = -\delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{p}'} (\delta_m^r \delta_n^s - \delta_n^r \delta_m^s) \quad (4.17)$$

we get

$$-i\pi \int_0^{2\pi} d^5\theta (\Pi^{mn} H_{6mn} + H_{6mn} \Pi^{mn}) = -i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger} \tilde{B}_{\vec{p}mn} + \tilde{B}_{\vec{p}mn} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\vec{p}}^{6mn\dagger}). \quad (4.18)$$

Reinserting the polarization tensors normalized as $f^{\kappa rs}(p) f_{rs}^\lambda(p) = \delta^{\kappa\lambda}$ and using (4.17), we find that (4.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -2i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 \mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger} B_{\vec{p}}^\lambda f^{\kappa mn}(p) f_{mn}^\lambda(p) - i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 f^{\kappa mn}(p) f_{mn}^\kappa(p) \\ & = -2i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 \mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger} B_{\vec{p}}^\kappa - i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 \delta^{\kappa\kappa} \\ & = -2i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 \mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger} B_{\vec{p}}^\kappa - \pi R_6 \sum_{\vec{p}} \sqrt{G_5^{mn} p_m p_n} \delta^{\kappa\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $1 \leq \kappa, \lambda \leq 3$ and

$$[\mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger}, B_{\vec{p}}^\lambda] = \delta^{\kappa\lambda} \delta_{\vec{p}, \vec{p}} \quad (4.20)$$

The ordering chosen in (4.3) gives rise to the vacuum energy as the second term in (4.19). This term is necessary for modular invariance and requires an $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant regularization which is derived in Appendix A.

5. The Anomaly Cancellation

From (4.19) and (3.1) the partition function is

$$Z = Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot \text{tr} e^{-2i\pi \sum_{\vec{p} \neq 0} p_6 \mathcal{C}_{\vec{p}}^{\kappa\dagger} B_{\vec{p}}^\kappa - \pi R_6 \sum_{\vec{p}} \sqrt{G_5^{mn} p_m p_n} \delta^{\kappa\kappa}} \quad (5.1)$$

with p_6 given in (4.8) and $p_l = n_l \in \mathcal{Z}^5$ due to the torus. We can now use the

standard Fock space argument

$$\text{tr} \omega^{\sum_p p a_p^\dagger a_p} = \prod_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle k | \omega^{p a_p^\dagger a_p} | k \rangle = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \omega^p} \quad (5.2)$$

to do the trace on the oscillators in (5.1). The answer is

$$Z = Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot \left(e^{-\pi R_6 \sum_{\vec{n}} \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m}} \prod_{\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i 2\pi p_6}} \right)^3. \quad (5.3)$$

(5.3) is manifestly $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant since p_6 is. (In p_6 , G^{6m} is a contravariant 5-vector defined in (2.7).) However, the vacuum energy $\sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m}$ is a divergent sum. In (A.5) in Appendix A we derive its $SL(5, Z)$ invariant regularization to obtain

$$Z = Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot \left(e^{R_6 \pi^{-3} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}} \frac{\sqrt{G_5}}{(G_{lm} n_l n_m)^3}} \prod_{\vec{n} \neq \vec{0}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m} + i 2\pi \alpha n_1 + i 2\pi (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}} \right)^3 \quad (5.4)$$

where the sum on $\vec{n}$ is on the original lattice $\vec{n} = n^l \in \mathcal{Z}^5 \neq \vec{0}$ and the product on $\vec{n}$ is on the dual lattice $\vec{n} = n_l \in \mathcal{Z}^5 \neq \vec{0}$. $Z_{\text{zero modes}}$ is given in (3.11). To understand how the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance of Z works, we separate the product on $\vec{n} = (n, n_\perp) \neq \vec{0}$ into a product on (all n , but $n_\perp \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$) and on ($n \neq 0$, $n_\perp = (0, 0, 0, 0)$), where $n_\perp \equiv n_i$ and $n \equiv n_1$. Then (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot \left(e^{\frac{R_6}{\pi R_1} \zeta(2)} \prod_{n_1 \neq 0} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i (\alpha n_1 + i \frac{R_6}{R_1} |n_1|)}} \right)^3 \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{n_i \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)} e^{-2\pi R_6 \langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}} \prod_{n_1 \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m} + i 2\pi \alpha n_1 + i 2\pi (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}} \right)^3 \\ &= Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot \left(\eta(\tau) \bar{\eta}(\bar{\tau}) \right)^{-3} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{n_i \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)} e^{-2\pi R_6 \langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}} \prod_{n_1 \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m} + i 2\pi \alpha n_1 + i 2\pi (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}} \right)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where $\tau \equiv \alpha + i \frac{R_6}{R_1}$ and $\langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}$ is given in (A.11).

In (5.5) we have separated the contribution of the ‘2d massless’ scalars from the contribution of the ‘2d massive’ scalars. The former are the modes with zero momentum $n_\perp = 0$ in the transverse direction $i = 2\dots 5$, which appear as massless bosons on the 2-torus in the directions 1 and 6. Instead, the modes associated with $n_\perp \neq 0$ correspond to massive bosons on the 2-torus. Their partition function at fixed $n_\perp$ is

$$e^{-2\pi R_6 \langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}} \prod_{n_1 \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm}} n_l n_m + i2\pi \alpha n_1 + i2\pi (\alpha \beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}} \quad (5.6)$$

and is $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ symmetric by itself, since there is no anomaly for massive states. We show in Appendix B how (5.6) can be derived from the path integral for a complex scalar field coupled to a constant gauge field on the 2-torus. The modular invariance under (3.14) reduces on the 2-torus to the standard $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$ transformation plus gauge invariance. In this path integral derivation the invariance of (5.6) under (3.14) then follows by construction.

The only piece of (5.5) that has an $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ anomaly is the one associated with the ‘2d massless’ modes

$$e^{\frac{R_6}{\pi R_1} \zeta(2)} \prod_{n_1 \neq 0} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2\pi i (\alpha n_1 + i \frac{R_6}{R_1} |n_1|)}} = (\eta(\tau) \bar{\eta}(\bar{\tau}))^{-1}$$

where the Dedekind eta function $\eta(\tau) \equiv e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i \tau n})$, and the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ results from Appendix A. Under the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ transformation (3.14) of sect. 3, $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$ and

$$(\eta(\tau) \bar{\eta}(\bar{\tau}))^{-3} \rightarrow |\tau|^{-3} (\eta(\tau) \bar{\eta}(\bar{\tau}))^{-3}. \quad (5.7)$$

This is how the oscillator anomaly cancels the zero mode anomaly in (3.17). Hence the combination $Z_{\text{zero modes}} \cdot (\eta(\tau) \bar{\eta}(\bar{\tau}))^{-3}$ is $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant.

In analogy with the modular group $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ which can be generated by two transformations such as $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ and $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$, the mapping class groups of the n -torus, *i.e.* the modular groups $SL(n, \mathcal{Z})$ can be generated by just two transformations as well [13]. In Appendix C we show how the $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance of (5.4) and the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance of (5.5) imply symmetry under the $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ generators.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have computed explicitly the partition function of the M-theory fivebrane chiral two-form on a six-torus. In analogy with the $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ modular invariance of 2d string theory, the fivebrane partition function on T^6 has $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance. We have shown this in two steps. We started with a manifestly $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant formalism (where 5 here refers to the directions 1...5) and made sure that the regularization of the vacuum energy did not spoil it. The crucial step, however, was to prove an additional $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ symmetry in the directions 1 and 6. This $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance was achieved by the cancellation of the anomaly from the sum over the zero modes with the anomaly of the ‘2d massless’ modes from the oscillator trace. We then showed how the combination of these symmetries implies $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ invariance.

One of the problems with the M-theory fivebrane is that it is hard to write down a manifestly covariant Lagrangian. Nonetheless, our result proves that the M-theory fivebrane chiral two-form can be consistently quantized on a six-torus.

Finally, we have shown that in this case the partition function for the fivebrane two-form has no dependence on the spin structure. Our result depends on the fact that we compactify on $T^2 \times T^4$ and would not hold automatically on other spaces.

Acknowledgement

We thank Edward Witten for valuable discussions, and L.D. thanks the IAS for its hospitality.

APPENDIX A

$SL(5, Z)$ INVARIANT REGULARIZATION OF THE VACUUM ENERGY

The vacuum energy $\langle H \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p} \in \mathcal{Z}^5} \sqrt{G_5^{lm} p_l p_m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p} \in \mathcal{Z}^5} |\vec{p}|$ appearing in sect.3 is a divergent sum and needs to be regularized in an $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant way. Here the sum is on the dual lattice $\vec{p} = p_l \in \mathcal{Z}^5$. The answer given in (A.5) is derived as follows. We rewrite $\langle H \rangle$ as

$$\langle H \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p} \in \mathcal{Z}^5} \sqrt{G_5^{lm} p_l p_m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p} \in \mathcal{Z}^5} |\vec{p}| e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} \Big|_{\vec{x}=0}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We express $|\vec{p}|$ in terms of its 5d Fourier transform as

$$|\vec{p}| = \int d^5 y e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{y}} \left(-\frac{2}{\pi^3} \sqrt{G_5} \right) \frac{1}{|\vec{y}|^6}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\vec{p}} |\vec{p}| e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi^3} \sqrt{G_5} \int d^5 y \frac{1}{|\vec{y}|^6} \sum_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi^3} \sqrt{G_5} \int d^5 y \frac{1}{|\vec{y}|^6} (2\pi)^5 \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \delta^5(\vec{x} - \vec{y} + 2\pi\vec{n}) \\ &= -64\pi^2 \sqrt{G_5} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|\vec{x} + 2\pi\vec{n}|^6} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where we have used the equality

$$\sum_{\vec{p}} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} = (2\pi)^5 \sum_{\vec{n}} \delta^5(\vec{x} + 2\pi\vec{n}) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and the sum on $\vec{n}$ is on the original lattice $\vec{n} = n^l \in \mathcal{Z}^5$. Our regularization consists in removing the $\vec{n} = 0$ term from this sum. The regularized vacuum energy follows

from (A.1) and (A.3)

$$\langle H \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi^4} \sqrt{G_5} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{(G_{lm} n^l n^m)^3} = -32\pi^2 \sqrt{G_5} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|2\pi\vec{n}|^6}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We want to show now that in the case of zero transverse momentum, *i.e.* $n_\perp = (n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$, the regularization (A.5) reduces to the usual ζ function regularization. This is essential to give the Dedekind eta function in sect.5 for the anomaly cancellation with the zero modes of sect.3.

To this purpose we rewrite the vacuum energy (A.5) as a sum (on the dual lattice $p_\perp = p_{\perp i} \in \mathcal{Z}^4$) of terms at fixed transverse momentum:

$$\langle H \rangle = -32\pi^2 \sqrt{G_5} \sum_{p_\perp} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 z_\perp e^{-ip_\perp \cdot z_\perp} \sum_{\vec{n} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|2\pi\vec{n} + z_\perp|^6}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The identity (A.6) can be checked from (A.5) by using (A.4). By a change of variables $z_\perp \rightarrow y_\perp = z_\perp + 2\pi\vec{n}$, (A.6) can be now rewritten as

$$\langle H \rangle = -32\pi^2 \sqrt{G_5} \sum_{p_\perp} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4 y_\perp e^{-ip_\perp \cdot y_\perp} \sum_{n^1 \in \mathcal{Z} \neq 0} \frac{1}{|2\pi n^1 + y_\perp|^6} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $|2\pi n^1 + y_\perp|^2 \equiv [(2\pi n^1)^2 G_{11} + 2(2\pi n^1) G_{1i} y_\perp^i + y_\perp^i y_\perp^j G_{ij}]$. Now we consider the $p_\perp = 0$ part only in (A.7) and do the 4d integration in $d^4 y_\perp$ with the result

$$\langle H \rangle = -32\pi^2 \sqrt{G_5} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{\pi^2}{g} \frac{1}{(2\pi n)^2} \frac{1}{2R_1^2}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Remembering that $\frac{\sqrt{G_5}}{\sqrt{g}} = R_1$ and that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, we finally obtain

$$\langle H \rangle_{p_\perp=0} = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{R_1} = \frac{1}{R_1} \zeta(-1). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We note that computing the $d^4y_\perp$ integration in (A.7) for all $p_\perp$, we recover the spherical Bessel functions which appear for massive bosons:

$$\langle H \rangle = \sum_{p_\perp} \langle H \rangle_{p_\perp} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where

$$\langle H \rangle_{p_\perp} = -|p_\perp|^2 R_1 \sum_{n^1=1}^{\infty} \cos(p_\perp \cdot \beta 2\pi n^1) [K_2(2\pi n^1 R_1 |p_\perp|) - K_0(2\pi n^1 R_1 |p_\perp|)] \quad (\text{A.11})$$

For $\beta^i = 0$, (A.10) can be expressed in terms of a standard integral occurring in the effective potential for a 4d scalar field at finite temperature:

$$\langle H \rangle = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 R_1} \sum_{p_\perp} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} \frac{1}{(e^{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} - 1)} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where $a = 2\pi R_1 |p_\perp|$.

APPENDIX B

$SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ SYMMETRIC PARTITION FUNCTION OF A 2D MASSIVE SCALAR FIELD

In (5.5) we wrote the contribution from the oscillator trace to the partition function of the chiral two-form on the torus as a product over values of the transverse momentum $n_\perp$. We show here that each term in the product with fixed $n_\perp \neq 0$ given in (5.6) is the square root of the partition function on T^2 of a massive complex scalar with $m^2 \equiv g^{ij}n_i n_j$ coupled to a constant gauge field $A^\mu \equiv iG^{\mu i}n_i$ with $\mu, \nu = 1, 6$; $i, j = 2, \dots, 5$. We show that (5.6) is a gauge transformation on the 2d gauge field combined with an $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ transformation on T^2 . The metric on T^2 is $h_{11} = R_1^2, h_{66} = R_6^2 + \alpha^2 R_1^2, h_{16} = -\alpha R_1^2$. Its inverse is $h^{11} = G^{11}, h^{66} = G^{66}$ and $h^{16} = G^{16}$. The invariance under (3.14) follows since the 2d partition function (5.6) can be derived from a manifestly $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant *path integral* on the 2-torus: We start with

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{P.I.} &= \int d\phi d\bar{\phi} e^{-\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^6 h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu + A_\mu) \bar{\phi} (\partial_\nu - A_\nu) \phi + m^2 \bar{\phi} \phi} \\
&= \int d\phi d\bar{\phi} e^{-\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^6 \bar{\phi} (-h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + 2A^\mu \partial_\mu + G^{ij} n_i n_j) \phi} \\
&= \int d\bar{\phi} d\phi e^{-\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta^6 \bar{\phi} \left(-\left(\frac{1}{R_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{R_6}\right)^2 \right) \partial_1^2 - \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 \partial_6^2 - 2\frac{\alpha}{R_6^2} \partial_1 \partial_6 + 2A^1 \partial_1 + 2A^6 \partial_6 + G^{ij} n_i n_j \right) \phi} \\
&= \det \left(\left[-\left(\frac{1}{R_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{R_6}\right)^2 \right) \partial_1^2 - \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 \partial_6^2 - 2\alpha \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 \partial_1 \partial_6 + G^{ij} n_i n_j + 2G^{1i} n_i \partial_1 + 2G^{6i} n_i \partial_6 \right] \right)^{-1} \\
&= e^{-\text{tr} \ln \left[-\left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{R_6}\right)^2 \right) \partial_1^2 - \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 \partial_6^2 - 2\alpha \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 \partial_1 \partial_6 + G^{ij} n_i n_j + 2G^{1i} n_i \partial_1 + 2G^{6i} n_i \partial_6 \right]} }
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

The trace on the momentum eigenfunctions of (B.1) reduces to the sum

$$\text{P.I.} = e^{-\sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{r \in \mathcal{Z}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2} r^2 + \left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{R_6}\right)^2 \right) s^2 + 2\alpha \left(\frac{1}{R_6}\right)^2 r s + G^{ij} n_i n_j + 2G^{1i} n_i s + 2G^{6i} n_i r \right) \right]} } \tag{B.2}$$

where $\beta \equiv 2\pi R_6$. To evaluate the divergent sum on r , we define $E^2 \equiv G_5^{lm} n_l n_m$

where $n_1 \equiv s$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(E) &= \sum_{r \in \mathcal{Z}} \ln \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2} r^2 + \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{R_6} \right)^2 \right) s^2 + 2\alpha \left(\frac{1}{R_6} \right)^2 rs + G^{ij} n_i n_j + 2G^{1i} n_i s + 2G^{6i} n_i r \right) \\
&= \sum_{r \in \mathcal{Z}} \ln \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2} (r + \alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i)^2 + E^2 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \nu(E)}{\partial E} &= \sum_r \frac{2E}{\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2} (r + \alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i)^2 + E^2} \\
&= \frac{\beta \sinh \beta E}{\cosh \beta E - \cos 2\pi(\alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i)} \\
&= \partial_E \ln \left[\cosh \beta E - \cos 2\pi(\alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

where we have used the fact that $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{2y}{(2\pi n + z)^2 + y^2} = \frac{\sinh y}{\cosh y - \cos z}$. Integrating (B.4) we get

$$\nu(E) = \ln \left[\cosh \beta E - \cos 2\pi(\alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i) \right] + \ln 2 \tag{B.5}$$

where the integration constant in (B.5) ensures an $SL(2, \mathcal{Z})$ invariant regularization of (B.2). Consequently for $n_1 \equiv s$, we have that (B.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{P.I.})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \prod_{s \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\cosh \beta E - \cos 2\pi(\alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i)}} \\
&= \prod_{s \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta E}{2}}}{1 - e^{-\beta E + 2\pi i(\alpha s + (\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i)}} \\
&= e^{-\pi R_6 \sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}} \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m}} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m} + 2\pi i \alpha s + 2\pi i(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}} \\
&= e^{-2\pi R_6 \langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}} \prod_{n_1 \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\pi R_6 \sqrt{G_5^{lm} n_l n_m} + 2\pi i \alpha n_1 + 2\pi i(\alpha\beta^i + \gamma^i) n_i}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

where $\langle H \rangle_{n_\perp}$ is a sum over spherical Bessel functions given in (A.11). It is not at all obvious that P.I. given by this formula is invariant under $\tau \rightarrow -\tau^{-1}$, where $\tau \equiv \alpha + i \frac{R_6}{R_1}$ and G_5^{lm} is given in (2.8), but it is true by construction.

Furthermore (B.6) is invariant under the transformation (3.14) , which also changes the 6d metric parameters β^i and γ^i . Since $A_\mu \equiv h_{\mu\nu} i n_i G^{\nu i}$ where $\mu, \nu = 1, 6$, the transformation (3.14) on A^μ corresponds to a gauge transformation $A^\mu \rightarrow A^\mu + \partial^\mu \lambda$, and $\phi \rightarrow e^{i\lambda}$, $\bar{\phi} \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda}$ where

$$\lambda(\theta^1, \theta^6) = \theta^1 \left[\frac{i\gamma^i}{R_1^2 |\tau|^2} + i \frac{\alpha}{R_6^2} \left(\frac{\alpha \gamma^i}{|\tau|^2} + \beta^i \right) \right] - \theta^6 i \frac{\alpha \gamma^i}{|\tau|^2} . \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Hence (B.6) and thus (5.6) are invariant under (3.14) .

APPENDIX C

GENERATORS OF $SL(n, \mathcal{Z})$

The $SL(n, \mathcal{Z})$ unimodular groups can each be generated by two matrices[13]. For $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ these can be chosen to be

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (C.1)$$

That is every matrix M in $SL(n, \mathcal{Z})$ can be written as a product $U_1^{n_1} U_2^{n_2} U_1^{n_3} \dots$. The matrices U_1 and U_2 act on the basis vectors of the six-torus $\vec{\alpha}_I$ where $\vec{\alpha}_I \cdot \vec{\alpha}_J = G_{IJ}$. For our metric (2.6) , the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha}'_1 \\ \vec{\alpha}'_2 \\ \vec{\alpha}'_3 \\ \vec{\alpha}'_4 \\ \vec{\alpha}'_5 \\ \vec{\alpha}'_6 \end{pmatrix} = U_2 \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha}_1 \\ \vec{\alpha}_2 \\ \vec{\alpha}_3 \\ \vec{\alpha}_4 \\ \vec{\alpha}_5 \\ \vec{\alpha}_6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (C.2)$$

corresponds to

$$R_1 \rightarrow R_1, R_6 \rightarrow R_6, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha - 1, \beta^i \rightarrow \beta^i, \gamma^i \rightarrow \gamma^i + \beta^i, g_{ij} \rightarrow g_{ij} \quad (C.3)$$

which leaves invariant the line element (2.5) if $d\theta^1 \rightarrow d\theta^1 - d\theta^6$, $d\theta^6 \rightarrow d\theta^6$, $d\theta^i \rightarrow d\theta^i$. U_2 is the generalization of the usual $\tau \rightarrow \tau - 1$ modular transformation. It is easily checked that U_2 is an invariance of the partition function (5.4) and (3.11).

The less trivial generator U_1 can be related to the tranformation (3.14) that we study in the text as follows:

$$U_1 = U' M_5 \tag{C.4}$$

where M_5 is an $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ transformation given by

$$M_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.5}$$

and U' is the matrix corresponding to (3.14) :

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{C.6}$$

Hence the $SL(5, \mathcal{Z})$ symmetry of (5.5) together with its invariance under the modular transformation (3.14) implies via (C.4) invariance under the $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$ generator U_1 . So due to its symmetry under both generators, the partition function is invariant under the modular group $SL(6, \mathcal{Z})$, the mapping class group of the six-torus.

REFERENCES

1. E. Witten, “Five-brane Effective Action in M-theory,” J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 103; hep-th 9610234.
2. M. Perry and J. H. Schwarz, “Interacting Chiral Gauge Fields in Six Dimensions and Born-Infeld Theory’,” Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1997) 47; hep-th/9611065.
3. John H. Schwarz, “Coupling a Self-dual Tensor to Gravity in Six Dimensions,” Phys. Lett. **B395** (1997) 191; hep-th/ 9701008.
4. P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Covariant Action for a D=11 Five-Brane with Chiral Field,” Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 41; hep-th 9701037; “On Lorentz Invariant Actions for Chiral P-Forms,” Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 4277; hep-th/9711100.
5. M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “Worldvolume Action of the M-theory Fivebrane,” Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 191; hep-th/9701166.
6. P.S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P.C. West, “Covariant Field Equations of the M-theory Five-brane, Nucl.Phys. **B496** (1997) 191.
7. I. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “On the Equivalence of Different Formulations of M-theory Five-brane,” Phys. Lett. **408B** (1997) 135.
8. M. Cederwall, B. Nilsson, P. Sundell, “An Action for the super-5-brane in $D = 11$ Supergravity”, J. High Energy Physics 04 (1998) 7; hep-th/9712059.
9. E. Bergshoeff, D. Sorokin and P.K. Townsend, “The M-5brane Hamiltonian,” hep-th/9805065.
10. D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta* vols. I and II, Boston: Birkhauser 1983; L. Alvarez-Gaume, G. Moore, C. Vafa, “Theta Functions, Modular Invariance, and Strings”, Comm. Math. Phys. **106** (1986) 1-40.
11. M. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, to appear

12. M.B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, vol. I and II, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K. 1987.
13. H.S.M. Coxeter and W.O.J. Moser, Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups, Springer-Verlag: New York 1980.